1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	RONALD HOLTZ,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C12-5110 RBL-JRC
12	v.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF
13	NURSE JANE DOE TERRY et al.,	COUNSEL
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	The District Court has referred this action to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant	
17	to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1) (A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4.	
18	Plaintiff objects to the Court considering this action as a civil rights action and argues that this is	
19	a declaratory judgment action brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201(ECF No. 9). The Court	
20	entered an order to show cause (ECF No. 17). Plaintiff now asks for appointment of counsel	
21	(ECF No. 15).	
22	There is no right to appointment of counsel in civil cases. The Court may appoint counsel	
23	only in exceptional circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986);	
24	Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); <u>Aldabe v. Aldabe</u> , 616 F.2d 1089 (9th	

Cir. 1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331. Plaintiff's pleadings are clear and concise. Plaintiff's pleadings contain proper citations to authority. This does not mean that plaintiff's claims are necessarily well founded, but he seems capable of clearly articulating them. The motion is DENIED. Dated this 27th day of April, 2012. J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge